1	JOCELYN BURTON, SBN 135879 JOEL MOON, SBN 290470					
2	BURTON EMPLOYMENT LAW					
3	1939 Harrison Street, Suite 400 Oakland, CA 94612					
4	Ph: (510) 350-7025					
	Fax: (510) 473-3672 e-mail: jburton@burtonemploymentlaw.com					
5	e-mail: jmoon@burtonemploymentlaw.com					
6						
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff Olen A. Henry					
8		ES DISTRICT COURT				
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10	TVORTILEIU V BIS					
11	OLEM A HENDY) Case No.:				
12	OLEN A. HENRY,)				
13	Plaintiff,) COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES				
14	VS.) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
15	HCA HEALTH SERVICES OF)				
	CALIFORNIA, INC. d/b/a REGIONAL					
16	MEDICAL CENTER OF SAN JOSE,	ĺ				
17	Defendant.)				
18	Defendant.))				
19))				
20						
21	Plaintiff Olen A. Henry respectfully alleges as follows:					
22						
23	PRELIMINARY STATEMENT					
24	Plaintiff Olen A. Henry brings this action for monetary damages and injunctive relief pursuan					
25						
	to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 as amended effective January 29, 2009, 42 U.S.C. §					
26	2000e et seq.(hereinafter Title VII); and the California Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA"					
27	California Gov't Code § 12940 et seq Plaintit	ff seeks redress for injuries he has sustained as a result				
28						

of Defendant's unlawful employment discrimination against Plaintiff on the basis of his race and sex and retaliation for engaging in protected activity.

JURISDICTION

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5 to enforce the provisions of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended effective January 29, 2009 (42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq.*) and conferring original jurisdiction upon this court of any civil action to recover damages or to secure equitable relief under any Act of Congress providing for the protection of civil rights, and under the Declaratory Judgment Statute, 28 U.S.C. § 2201.
- 2. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the related state claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. Plaintiffs' claims pursuant to the FEHA, Cal. Gov't Code §§ 12940(a), 12920, and California Public Policy are related, as all of Plaintiffs' claims share common operative facts.

 Resolving all state and federal claims in a single action serves the interests of judicial economy, convenience and fairness to the parties.
- 4. The Court has the authority to grant declaratory relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f) & (g).

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT AND VENUE

5. Venue is proper in the district as the unlawful employment practices occurred in San Jose, California. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 2002e-5(f)(3).

THE PARTIES

- 6. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff Olen A. Henry (hereinafter "Henry") was employed by Defendant HCA Health Services of California, Inc. d/b/a Regional Medical Center of San Jose (hereinafter "RMC") as an Assistant Department Manager of the Observation, Medical Surgical Oncology and Dialysis Unit (hereinafter "Assistant Manager") at the RMC hospital facility in San Jose, California.
- 7. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant RMC was a health care organization operating and existing under the laws of the State of California.
- 8. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant is an employer covered by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended effective January 29, 2009 and California FEHA in that it is engaged in an industry affecting interstate commerce and employs at least 15 full or part-time employees for each working day of each of 20 or more calendar weeks in the current or preceding year.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. Plaintiff Henry was employed by Defendant RMC as an Assistant Manager from February 2012 until approximately June, 2013. Plaintiff Henry is an African American male.
- 10. During his employment by Defendant RMC, Plaintiff Henry's co-workers and supervisors subjected him to on-going discrimination based on his race and sex.
- 11. During his employment by Defendant RMC, Plaintiff Henry's co-workers subjected him to discrimination in the form of racist and sexist stereotypes and comments regarding African Americans and/or male nurses. Defendant RMC's supervisors informed Plaintiff Henry that Plaintiff's subordinates did not like taking instruction from him and did not follow his directions

because of his African American race. Plaintiff Henry's supervisors witnessed and condoned such discrimination and failed to take reasonable steps to correct or prevent such conduct.

- 12. During his employment by Defendant RMC, Plaintiff's supervisors informed him that he would be better off working for a predominately Black staffed hospital than with Defendant RMC.
- 13. Plaintiff Henry attempted to implement a permanent change in regard to RMC staff operations that was vehemently opposed. Plaintiff Henry was subsequently informed by RMC staff that they sought his termination and would "get rid of him," through union negotiations of the RMC staff's collective bargaining agreement. One nursing supervisor told Plaintiff Henry that he would never follow his instructions and forcefully removed Plaintiff from his office.
- 14. Defendant RMC's upper management and Plaintiff's supervisors informed Plaintiff that he was not a good fit due to the RMC staff's response to his efforts to implement changes.

 Plaintiff Henry attempted to require his staff to follow federal laws and RMC policies and procedures.
- 15. Plaintiff Henry repeatedly spoke out and complained about the race and sex discrimination he was subjected to by Defendant RMC's supervisors, Plaintiff's subordinates, and other RMC staff.
- 16. In response, Defendant RMC began retaliating against Plaintiff Henry by placing him on administrative leave in response to a baseless hostile work environment claim and by alleging that Plaintiff had anger management issues.
 - 17. On or around June 2013, Plaintiff was terminated from his employment with RMC.
- 18. Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies and has filed a Charge of Discrimination with the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (hereinafter "EEOC") on or around December 12, 2013.

1	19.	On or around March 18, 2014, the EEOC issued a Notice of Right to Sue to Plaintiff			
2	Henry.				
3		CAUSES OF ACTION			
4 5		FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION			
6	Discrimination on the Basis of Race and Sex (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended effective January 20, 2009, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.)				
7		(Against Defendant RMC)			
8	20.	Plaintiff Henry incorporates the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 19 by reference.			
9	21.	This is a claim for race and sex discrimination in employment brought pursuant to			
10 11	Title VII of t	he Civil Rights Act of 1964.			
12	22.	Plaintiff Henry is a member of a protected class.			
13	23.	Plaintiff Henry was treated less favorably than other employees because of his race			
14	and sex.				
15	24.	Plaintiff Henry was terminated because of his race and sex and/or Plaintiff Henry			
16 17	believes that his race and sex was a motivating factor in Defendant RMC's decision to terminate his				
18	employment	in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2.			
19	25.	Plaintiff Henry suffered discrimination from Defendant RMC because of his race and			
20	sex.				
21	26.	Plaintiff Henry has suffered and continues to suffer economic and emotional distress			
22	damages resulting from the race and sex discrimination.				
23 24	27.	Plaintiff Henry has incurred and continues to incur attorneys' fees and legal expenses			
25	in an amount according to proof at trial.				
26	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Henry prays for relief and judgment as hereinafter set forth.				
27		SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION			
28		Discrimination on the Basis of Race and Sex			

	I				
1	(California Government Code § 12940) (Against Defendant RMC)				
2		28.	Plaintiff Henry incorporates the allegations of Paragraph 1 through 27 by reference.		
4		29.	This is a claim for race and sex discrimination in employment brought pursuant to		
5	FEHA				
6		30.	Plaintiff Henry is a member of a protected class.		
7		31.	Plaintiff Henry was treated less favorably than other employees because of his race		
9	and sex.				
10		32.	Plaintiff Henry was terminated because of his race and sex and/or Plaintiff Henry		
11	believes that his race and sex was a motivating factor in Defendant RMC's decision to terminate his				
12	emplo	yment i	n violation of California Government Code § 12940(a).		
13 14		33.	Plaintiff Henry suffered discrimination from Defendant RMC because of his race and		
15	sex.				
16		34.	Plaintiff Henry has suffered and continues to suffer economic and emotional distress		
17	damag	ges resul	Iting from the race and sex discrimination.		
18		35.	Plaintiff Henry has incurred and continues to incur attorneys' fees and legal expenses		
19 20	in an amount according to proof at trial.				
21	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Henry prays for relief and judgment as hereinafter set forth.				
22			THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION Retaliation		
23	(Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended effective January 20, 2009, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.)				
24 25			(Against Defendant RMC)		
26		36.	Plaintiff Henry incorporates the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 35 by reference.		
27					
28					

- 37. Plaintiff Henry engaged in activity protected by Title VII when he repeatedly spoke out and complained to his supervisors about the race and sex discrimination he was subjected to by Defendant RMC's supervisors, Plaintiff's subordinates, and other RMC staff.
- 38. Defendant RMC and its agents knew of Plaintiff Henry's protected activity and retaliated against him because of his protected activity. After Defendant RMC learned of Plaintiff Henry's protected activities, it took adverse actions against him because of his protected activity, including but not limited to: subjecting him to unwarranted administrative leave, baselessly alleging anger management issues, and terminating his employment.
- 39. Plaintiff Henry has suffered and continues to suffer economic and emotional distress damages resulting from the retaliation.
- 40. Plaintiff Henry has incurred and continues to incur attorneys' fees and legal expenses in an amount according to proof at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Henry prays for relief and judgment as hereinafter set forth.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Retaliation (Government Code § 12940(h)) (Against Defendant RMC)

- 41. Plaintiff Henry incorporates the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 40 by reference.
- 42. Plaintiff Henry engaged in activity protected by FEHA when he repeatedly spoke out and complained to his supervisors about the race and sex discrimination he was subjected to by Defendant RMC's supervisors, Plaintiff's subordinates, and other RMC staff.
- 43. Defendant RMC and its agents knew of Plaintiff Henry's protected activity and retaliated against him because of his protected activity. After Defendant RMC learned of Plaintiff Henry's protected activities, it took adverse actions against him because of his protected activity,

including but not limited to: subjecting him to unwarranted administrative leave, baselessly alleging anger management issues, and terminating his employment.

- 44. Plaintiff Henry has suffered and continues to suffer economic and emotional distress damages resulting from the retaliation.
- 45. Plaintiff Henry has incurred and continues to incur attorneys' fees and legal expenses in an amount according to proof at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Henry prays for relief and judgment as hereinafter set forth.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Wrongful Termination in Violation of Public Policy) (Against Defendant RMC)

- 46. Plaintiff Henry incorporates the allegations of Paragraph 1 through 45 by reference.
- 47. Jurisdiction is invoked in this court pursuant to the public policy and common law of the State of California, pursuant to the case of *Tameny v. Atlantic Richfield Company* (1980) 27 Cal.3d 167 and *Rojo v. Kliger* (1990) 52 Cal.3d 65.
- 48. Under California law, there is a fundamental and well-established public policy prohibiting discrimination against employees on the basis of their race or sex. Said public policy is embodied in the Constitution of the state of California and in California statutes, particularly California Government Code § 12920.
- 49. Plaintiff Henry was terminated because of his race and sex and/or Plaintiff Henry believes that his race and sex was a motivating factor in Defendant RMC's decision to terminate his employment in violation of California Government Code § 12920.
- 50. Defendant RMC was aware of the discrimination and allowed the discrimination to continue by failing to investigate, stop or prevent incidents of race and sex discrimination directed at Plaintiff Henry. Plaintiff's supervisors even gave Plaintiff notice of the discrimination without remedying the discriminatory conduct.

51.	Because of Defendant RMC's wrongful termination in violation of public policy
 Plaintiff Henry	y suffered economic and emotional distress damages.

52. In doing the acts alleged herein, Defendant RMC acted with oppression, fraud, malice and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff Henry, and Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive damages according to proof at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Henry prays for relief and judgment as hereinafter set forth.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Henry respectfully requests that this Court assume jurisdiction in this entire matter and:

- Grant a declaratory judgment that Defendant RMC violated the laws of the United
 States and the State of California;
- 2. For a monetary judgment representing compensatory damages including lost wages, earnings, employee benefits, and all other sums of money, together with interest on these amounts, according to proof;
- 3. For a monetary judgment for mental pain, anguish, future wage loss and emotional distress, according to proof;
- 4. For a monetary judgment for punitive damages for the Defendant's intentional discriminatory practices made with malice and/or with reckless disregard pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5, 42. U.S.C. 1981a, and in violation of California Public Policy;
- 4. For the costs of suit and attorney's fees including attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C.§ 2000e-5 and California Government Code § 12965;
 - 5. For prejudgment and post judgment interest; and
 - 6. For any further relief that is just and proper.

Case 5:14-cv-02762-HRL Document 1 Filed 06/13/14 Page 10 of 10

1	JURY DEMAND
2	Plaintiff demands trial by jury of all claims and causes of action so triable.
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	Dated: June 13, 2014 Respectfully submitted,
8	BURTON EMPLOYMENT LAW
9	
10	By: //ss// Jocelyn Burton Jocelyn Burton
11	Attorney for Plaintiff
12	OLEN A. HENRY
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26 27	
28	
∠ Ծ	

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF TH	HIS FORM.)	, 1		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS OLEN A. HENRY				HCA HEALTH SERVICES OF CALIFORNIA, INC. d/b/a REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER OF SAN JOSE, CA County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA		NOTE: IN LAND CO			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Jocelyn Burton, Burton E 400, Oakland, CA 94612,		r) Harrison Street, Suite	Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	One Box Only)	. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff Plaintiff Plaintiff D 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Not a Party)		TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pr of Business In T		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State	2		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	□ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 190 Other Contract☐ 195 Contract Product Liability☐ 196 Franchise☐ REAL PROPERTY☐ 210 Land Condemnation☐	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus:	Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	□ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	□ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of	
 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	☐ 441 Voting 3 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other ☐ 448 Education	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence ☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty Other:	IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions	or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	moved from 3	Remanded from 4 Appellate Court	Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transfer Anothe (specify,	er District Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION VII. REQUESTED IN	ON Brief description of carace and sex disc	n 2000-e(5)	ling (Do not cite jurisdictional state wrongful termination in v DEMAND \$	riolation of public policy	if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	UNDER RULE 2			JURY DEMAND: DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 06/13/2014		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR //ss// Jocelyn Burto		DOCKET NUMBER		
(Place an "X" in One Box Only)	_	SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLA	ND SAN JOSE E	UREKA		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1343 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.