WILLIAM R. TAMAYO -- #084965 (CA) JONATHAN T. PECK -- #12303 (VA) CINDY O'HARA -- #114555 (CA) EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY **COMMISSION** 3 San Francisco District Office 4 350 The Embarcadero, Suite 500 San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 625-5653 5 Telephone: (415) 625-5657 Facsimile: cindy.ohara@eeoc.gov 6 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff EEOC 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY CVCivil 13, No. 4507 COMMISSION. 12 13 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT** 14 Civil Rights - Employment Discrimination 15 PETERS' BAKERY, DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 16 Defendant. 17 **NATURE OF THE ACTION** 18 This action is brought pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 19 Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful employment practices on the 20 basis of race/national origin and retaliation, and to provide appropriate relief to 21 Marcela Ramirez, a Hispanic employee who was adversely affected by such practices. 22 Defendant Peters' Bakery subjected Ms. Ramirez to unlawful discrimination based on 23 her race/national origin and to unlawful retaliation after she engaged in the protected 24 activity of filing charges of discrimination with Plaintiff Equal Employment 25 Opportunity Commission. 26 27 // 28

COMPLAINT

Page 1

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1. 1337, 1343 and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3) ("Title VII") and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.
- The unlawful employment practices alleged herein were committed in the 2. State of California, in the County of Santa Clara. Venue is therefore proper in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

This action is appropriate for assignment to San Jose Division of this court 3. as the violations alleged in this complaint took place in Santa Clara County.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission 4. ("Commission") is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, § 2000-e(f)(1) and (3).
- The EEOC is informed and believes that Defendant Peters' Bakery, 5. ("Defendant") is a partnership, doing business in the State of California, and has continuously had at least 15 employees.
- At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been an employer 6. engaged in an industry affecting commerce, within the meaning of Section 701(b), (g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000-e(b), (g) and (h).

//////

II

//

28

. i

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

ì

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violation of Title VII of Civil Rights Act Based on Race/National Origin

- 7. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Charging Party Marcela Ramirez ("Charging Party") filed charges with Plaintiff Commission alleging violations of Title VII by Defendant. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.
- 8. Since at least December 2010, Defendant has engaged in unlawful practices of discrimination in violation Section 703(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-2(a)(1) by subjecting Charging Party to harassment and discharge based on her race/national origin. Charging Party, who was employed by Defendant, was subjected to race/national origin discrimination by Defendant's majority-owner, Charles "Chuck" Peters ("Peters"), which included but was not limited to, repeated offensive and derogatory remarks, epithets and jokes about Charging Party's race/national origin, including but not limited to "Mexicans like you would rather lie than tell the truth," and "I never trusted your kind of people."

In addition to the above discriminatory harassment, Defendant discharged Charging Party from employment due to her race/national origin.

- 9. The effect of the actions complained of in paragraph 8 above has been to deprive Charging Party of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect her status as an employee because of her race/national origin.
- 10. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraph 8 above were intentional.
- 11. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraph 8 above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Charging Party.

ì

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20

21

- 22
- 23 24
- 25

26

27

28

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Violation of Title VII of Civil Rights Act Based on Retaliation

- Plaintiff Commission hereby incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1 12. through 8 above as though fully set forth herein.
- In addition to the above-referenced discrimination, Defendant engaged in 13. unlawful employment practices in violation of Section 704(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000-e-3(a) by subjecting Charging Party to retaliation after she engaged in the protected activity of filing charges of discrimination against Defendant with Plaintiff Commission. In retaliation for Charging Party engaging in such protected activity, Defendant took retaliatory adverse action against Charging Party, including but not limited to filing a retaliatory civil defamation claim against Charging Party; refusing to pay Charging Party's back wages and benefits following her reinstatement to employment despite a labor arbitrator's order to do so; subjecting Charging Party to retaliatory disciplinary action; and circulating copies of Charging Party's charges of discrimination to her coworkers, thereby attempting to isolate Charging Party and chill other employees from supporting her in her discrimination complaints.
- The effect of the actions complained of in paragraph 13 above has been to 14. deprive Charging Party of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect her status as an employee because of her protected activity.
- The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraph 13 above 15. were intentional.
- The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraph 13 above 16. were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Charging Party.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its officers, successors, A. assigns, and all persons acting in concert or participation with it, from engaging in

discrimination based on race/national origin and retaliation against its employees.

- В. Order Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which prohibit race/national origin discrimination, including harassment, and retaliation, and which eradicate the effects of its unlawful employment practices.
- C. Order Defendant to make whole Charging Party by providing appropriate back pay and benefits with prejudgment interest, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of its unlawful employment practices, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- Order Defendant to make whole Charging Party by providing D. compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices complained of above, including but not limited to such out-ofpocket expenses as medical care necessitated by Defendant's unlawful conduct, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- E. Order Defendant to make whole Charging Party by providing compensation for past and future nonpecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices complained of above including, but not limited to emotional pain and suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life and humiliation, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- F. Order Defendant to pay Charging Party punitive damages for the malicious and reckless conduct described above, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- G. Grant such further relief as the Court may deem just and proper in the public interest.

// 24 // 25 //

ì

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26 II

27

28

ì H. Award the Commission its costs of this action. 2 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Pursuant to the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff 3 hereby demands a jury trial. 4 P. David Lopez General Counsel 5 6 JAMES L. LEE Deputy General Counsel 7 GWENDOLYN YOUNG REAMS 8 Associate General Counsel 9 EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION 10 Office of the General Counsel Washington, DC 20507 11 12 Dated: September 30, 2013 <u>'S/ William R. Tamayo</u> WILLIAM R. TAMAYO 13 Regional Attorney 14 15 Dated: September 30, 2013 16 isory Trial Attorney 17 18 Dated: September 30, 2013 19 Senior Trial Attorney 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

| | The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE I. (a) PLAINTIFFS | | | | E OF THI | S FORM.) DEFENDANTS | | t the Clerk of Court for the |
|-------------|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| | EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION | | | | | PETERS' BAKERY | | |
| | (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) | | | | | County of Residence | of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES IN LAND CONDEMNATION THE TRACE OF LAND DON | Santa Clara County ONLY CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF UVED. |
| | (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Cindy O'Hara, Senior Trial Attorney Equal Employment Opportunity Commission -San Francisco District C 350 The Embarcadero, Suite 500 San Francisco, California 94105 | | | | Office | Attorneys (If Known) | THE TRACE OF LAND INVO | HRL |
| | II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) | | | | III. C | ITIZENSHIP OF PR | NCIPAL PARTIES (P | Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff |
| | X 1 | U.S. Government Plaintiff | 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government No. | t a Party) | (| | TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or F of Business In | and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Principal Place |
| | □ 2 | U.S. Government Defendant | | | | | 2 | Another State |
| | | | | | | Citizen or Subject of a | 3 Foreign Nation | □ 6 □ 6 |
| 02-4507-820 | 110 120 130 | | PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other 448 Education | PERSONAL INJ 365 Personal Injur Product Liabi 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutice Personal Injur Product Liabil 368 Asbestos Pers Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lend 380 Other Persona Property Dam Product Liabil 385 Ostary 463 Alien Detaine 510 Motions to Va Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: | URY Cy - Cy | 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other 690 Other 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 162 Naturalization 162 Act 163 Other Immigration 164 Other Immigration 165 Oth | □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 | ☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and ☐ Corrupt Organizations ☐ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act ☐ 896 Arbitration |
| | Confinement | | | | | | | |
| | VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are fil Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S. Brief description of cause: National Origin/Race Discrimination and Retaliat | | | | U.S.C. § | C. § 2000e et seq. | | |
| | VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. | | | | | DEMAND \$ | CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: X Yes ☐ No | |
| | VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE | | | | | | DOCKET NUMBER | |
| | | DIVISIONAL ASSI an "X" in One Box Onl | GNMENT (Civil L.) | | N FRA | ANCISCO/QAKLANI | D (X) SAN JOSE | EUREKA |